Arizona Supreme Court

Criminal Petition for Review-Post Conviction (ASC)

CR-24-0212-PR

STATE OF ARIZONA v LAMAR VALDEN SIMMONS

Appellate Case Information		Dept/Composition
Case Filed:	19-Aug-2024	
Case Closed:		

Side 1. STATE OF ARIZONA, Respondent

(Litigant Group) STATE OF ARIZONA

State of Arizona
Attorneys for: Respondent

Douglas Gerlach, Esq. (AZ Bar No. 6869)

Side 2. LAMAR VALDEN SIMMONS, Petitioner (Litigant Group) LAMAR VALDEN SIMMONS

Lamar Valden Simmons
PRO SE

CASE STATUS

Aug 19, 2024....Awaiting Petition for Review Aug 19, 2024....Pending

PREDEC E 1 CA	SSOR CASE(S) 1 CA-CR 23-0547 PRPC	Cause/Charge/Class	Judgment/Sentence	Judge, Role <comments></comments>	Trial	Dispo
₩ MAR	CR 1987-001119			Justin Beresky, Judge on PC Comments: (none)		

2 PROCEEDING ENTRIES

19-Aug-2024 FILED: Motion for Extension of Time (Petitioner Simmons, Pro Se)

2. 19-Aug-2024 Petitioner Simmons filed a "Motion for Extension of Time" on August 19, 2024. Pursuant to Arizona Rules of Criminal Procedure, 31.6(e) and Arizona Rules of Civil Appellate Procedure, Rule 6(b), a motion for a procedural order must include a statement by the moving party of whether the other parties consent to, or object to, the entry of the order that is sought; or why the moving party was unable to contact the other parties before filing the motion, and the caption of a motion for procedural order must include the words, "Motion for Procedural Order." Therefore,

IT IS ORDERED the motion is denied without prejudice to Petitioner's ability to file a motion in compliance with Arizona Rules of Crim. Proc. Rule 31.6(e) and ARCAP 6(b). This matter is subject to dismissal if a compliant motion or petition for review is not filed by September 3, 2024. (Tracie K. Lindeman Clerk)